# **Non-Plastic Container Trial Programme**

# **Eatery Feedback Form**

Notes:	
	his form with the relevant sub-forms 1 to 4 in the following pages, and return to _hotline@epd.gov.hk.
2. If possible, please	also attach <u>site photos</u> showing product performance during use for our reference
3. Please specify "N	on-Plastic Container Trial Programme" in the email subject.
For any enquiries, ple	ease contact us via the following channels:
• Telephone: 28	872 1713 Fax: 2872 0389
• Email: sup_h	otline@epd.gov.hk
General Information	<u>n</u>
Date of Testing:	Company Name:
Contact Person:	Post Title:
Contact Number:	
Type of Eateries:	☐ Chinese Cuisine ☐ Western Cuisine ☐ Hong Kong Style Tea Café
	☐ Fast Food ☐ Japanese Cuisine ☐ Korean Cuisine ☐ Thai Cuisine

 $\square$  Noodles/Congee  $\square$  Dessert  $\square$  Coffee/Beverage

☐ Others (please specify):\_\_\_\_\_

EFF-v1.0e - 1 -

# Sub-Form 1 - Takeaway Cup

(please use a separate form for each product model)

A. Product Information							
Supplier Name: Brand Name:							
Product Type:	ct Type:   Hot drink cup  Cold drink cup  Other:						
Material:	□ Bagasse	e □ Wood pu	lp □ Bambo	o pulp 🛮 Mix	ked plant fibre		
	☐ Water-b	ased Coating P	aper $\square$ Othe	er:			
Size:	□ 8 oz □	□ 12 oz □ 16	oz 🗆 20 oz	☐ Other:			
Thickness:	☐ Single-v	wall Doub	le-wall				
B. Performai	nce Evaluatio	on					
		☐ Hot tea/coff	ee □ Hotci	trus drinks (e.g	r. lemon tea)	□ Soft drinks	
1) p 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1		☐ Frappe ☐ Ic					
Test duration:		ninutes □ 3 h					
Performance ra	ating:	Evanilant	Cand	A	Daar	NI/A	
1 04 41		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	N/A	
1. Strength							
2. Heat Res							
3. Water Re			Ш		Ш		
4. Oil Resis	stance						
5. Acid Res	sistance						
6. Safe Sea	ling						
7. Ease of U	Use						
8. Delivery	Stability						
9. Appeara	nce						
10. Price							
Overall Rati	Overall Rating						
Note: Please re	efer to <b>Annex</b>	<b>x 1</b> for an evalu	ation guideline	e for reference.			
Additional comments:							

EFF-v1.0e - 2 -

# Sub-Form 2 - Takeaway Cup Lid

(please use a separate form for each product model)

A. Product In	nformation					
Supplier Name	Supplier Name: Brand Name:					
Product Type:	☐ for hot d	rink cup 🛭 fo	or cold drink cu	ıp □ Other: _		
Material:	□ Bagasse	e 🗆 Wood pu	lp □ Bambo	o pulp	ked plant fibre	
	☐ Water-b	pased Coating P	aper $\square$ Othe	r:		
Size (dia.):	□ 70 mm	□ 80 mm □	□ 90 mm □	100 mm □ O	ther:	
Shape:	□ Flat □	Dome-shaped	l □ Other: _			
B. Performan	ice Evaluatio	o <b>n</b>				
		☐ Hot tea/coff	ee □ Hot cit	trus drinks (e.g	. lemon tea)	☐ Soft drinks
<b>J</b> 1		☐ Frappe ☐ Io		, -	•	
Test duration:	□ 30 n	ninutes □ 3 h				
Daufa						
Performance ra	aung:	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	N/A
1. Strength				Average		IV/A
2. Heat Res	ristance					
3. Water Re						
4. Oil Resis						
5. Acid Res						
6. Safe Sea						
7. Ease of U						
-	Stability					
9. Appearai						
10. Price	iicc					
	ng					
Overall Rating						
Note: Please refer to <b>Annex 1</b> for an evaluation guideline for reference.						
Additional con	Additional comments:					

EFF-v1.0e - 3 -

# **Sub-Form 3 - Takeaway Food Container**

(please use a separate form for each product model)

A. Product In	formation							
Supplier Name	: Brand Name:							
Product Type:	☐ Lunch bo	ox (body only)	☐ Lunch bo	x (with cover)	☐ Soup bow	1		
	□ Noodle b	oowl    Other:						
Material:	☐ Bagasse	e □ Wood pu	lp □ Bambo	o pulp	ked plant fibre			
	☐ Water-b	ased Coating F	aper $\square$ Othe	r:				
Size:	□ 16 oz	□ 32 oz □ 4	8 oz □ 64 oz	z □ Other:				
B. Performan	ice Evaluatio	on						
Type of food te	ested with:	☐ Hot dishes	☐ Oily dishes	s □ Sauced d	ishes   Curr	У		
		☐ Sour dishes	☐ Spicy disl	hes $\square$ Soup	☐ Soup nood	les		
		=		shimi 🗆 Sala	=			
		☐ Steamed for	od 🗆 Other:					
Name of food t	ested with (e	e.g. stir-fried be	ef noodles): _					
Test duration:	□ 30 min	nutes	urs 🗆 24 hou	rs 🗆 Other:				
Performance ra	ating:							
		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	N/A		
1. Strength								
2. Heat Res	istance							
3. Water Re	esistance							
4. Oil Resis	tance							
5. Acid Res	istance							
6. Safe Seal	ling							
7. Ease of U	Jse							
8. Delivery	Stability							
9. Appearar	nce							
10. Price								
11. Food Stic	eking							
Overall Ratio	1 <b>9</b>				П			

Note: Please refer to Annex 1 for an evaluation guideline for reference.

EFF-v1.0e - 4 -

Additional comments:	Additional comments:					

EFF-v1.0e - 5 -

# **Sub-Form 4 - Takeaway Food Container Cover**

(please use a separate form for each product model)

A. Product In	nformation					
Supplier Name	e:		Brand Naı	ne:		
Product Type:	☐ Lunch bo	ox cover $\square$ S	oup bowl cove	r □ Noodle b	owl cover	
	☐ Other: _			_		
Material:	□ Bagasse	e □ Wood pu	lp □ Bambo	o pulp	xed plant fibre	
	☐ Water-b	ased Coating P	Paper   Othe	r:		
Container Size	: □ 16 oz	□ 32 oz □ 4	-8 oz □ 64 oz	z □ Other:		
B. Performar	rca Evaluatia	o.m				
Type of food to			□ Oily diabor	. □ Couood d	ishas 🗆 Cum	-X /
Type of food to		☐ Sour dishes	-			-
		☐ Congee ☐				
		☐ Steamed for			<del>-</del>	
Name of food	tested with (e					
Test duration:						
Df	-4:					
Performance ra	ung:	F 11 4	C 1		D	<b>N</b> T/A
		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	N/A
1. Strength						
2. Heat Res	sistance					
3. Water Re	esistance					
4. Oil Resis	stance					
5. Acid Res	sistance					
6. Safe Sea	ling					
7. Ease of U	Jse					
8. Delivery	Stability					
9. Appearan	nce					
10. Price						
11. Food Stie	cking					
Overall Ratio	ng ———					

Note: Please refer to Annex 1 for an evaluation guideline for reference.

EFF-v1.0e - 6 -

Additional comments: _	Additional comments:					

EFF-v1.0e - 7 -

# **Evaluation Guideline (for reference):**

1.	Strength: Does th	ne product hold food/liquid without breaking or bending?
	Excellent:	Can hold heavy or large portions of food/liquid without bending or breaking. Feels sturdy during use.
	Good:	Can hold most portions of food/liquid well but may slightly bend under high weight or large portions.
	Average:	Holds food/liquid but bends easily under moderate weight. Feels less sturdy.
	Poor:	Breaks or bends easily, even with light food/liquid.
2.	Heat Resistance:	Can it handle hot food/drinks without deformation?
	Excellent:	Withstands very hot items (e.g., boiling soups or drinks) without warping, melting, or softening.
	Good:	Handles hot items well but may show slight softening or warping with very high temperatures.
	Average:	Struggles with hot food; noticeable warping or softening occurs.
	Poor:	Deforms easily with hot food or liquids.
3.	Water Resistance	e: Does it resist water or moisture without weakening?
	Excellent:	Fully resists water and moisture, maintaining strength and structure.
	Good:	Resists water for most scenarios but may weaken slightly after prolonged exposure.
	Average:	Shows noticeable weakening or softening after moderate water exposure.
	Poor:	Structure fails or deteriorates quickly when exposed to water.
4.	Oil Resistance: D	Ooes it prevent oil from soaking through or breaking down the material?
	Excellent:	Fully resists oil penetration, even with greasy or oily foods over extended periods.
	Good:	Resists oil well but may show slight discoloration or weakening after long exposure.
	Average:	Some oil penetration occurs, and the material starts to weaken or discolor

EFF-v1.0e - 8 -

		with greasy foods.
	Poor:	Material allows oil to soak through easily, compromising functionality.
5.	Acid Resistance	: Can it handle acidic foods (e.g., citrus, vinegar) without degrading?
	Excellent:	Completely resistant to acidic foods, with no degradation or discoloration.
	Good:	Handles acidic foods well but may show minor degradation over time.
	Average:	Some degradation or weakening occurs when exposed to acidic foods.
	Poor:	Fails quickly when in contact with acidic foods.
6.	Safe Sealing: Do	bes it prevent leakage of liquids?
	Excellent:	Completely leakproof, even with liquids like soups or sauces over long periods.
	Good:	Leakproof for most liquids but may show slight leakage after extended time or with high-pressure handling.
	Average:	Some leakage occurs with liquids, especially if tilted or left for an extended period.
	Poor:	Frequently leaks liquids, even when handled carefully.
7.	Ease of Use: Is i	t user-friendly for customers and staff?
	Excellent:	Very easy to use, open, close, stack or handle. Customers and staff face no issues.
	Good:	Generally easy to use, though minor adjustments or effort may be needed.
	Average:	Some difficulties in using, such as hard-to-open lids or items being sticked together easily.
	Poor:	Difficult to use, requiring excessive effort.
8.	Delivery Stabilit	ty: Does the product maintain its integrity during transportation?
	Excellent:	Food remains secure and intact during delivery, even with bumps or jerks.  No spills or damage.
	Good:	Food remains mostly secure, but minor spills or shifts may occur during rough handling.
	Average:	Food shifts moderately during delivery, with noticeable spills or

EFF-v1.0e - 9 -

	deformation.
Poor:	Food is poorly secured, leading to major spills, leakage, or damage during delivery.
9. Appearance:	Does it look appealing and professional?
Excellent:	Visually appealing, clean, professional design. Enhances food presentation.
Good:	Looks good but with minor imperfections in design or finish (e.g. slightly plain or rough edges).
Average:	Functional but lacks appeal.
Poor:	Very unattractive design. May negatively impact food presentation.
10. <b>Price:</b> Is the p	roduct affordable within your budget?
Excellent:	Very affordable and well within budget.
Good:	Slightly above ideal price but still acceptable.
Average:	Approaches the upper limit of budget.
Poor:	Too expensive or unaffordable.
11. <b>Food Sticking</b> when the food	Does the container prevent food (e.g. rice, noodles) from sticking to its surface dries?
Excellent:	Food does not stick to the container at all.
Good:	Minimal sticking occurs; most food can be easily removed with slight effort.
Average:	Moderate sticking observed, requiring noticeable effort to clean or remove food residues.
Poor:	Food sticks heavily to the container, making it difficult to remove.

EFF-v1.0e - 10 -